guidelines for fibrous substances that can be used by EPA in future rulemaking, negotiated enforceable consent agreement, or voluntary action to obtain the necessary toxicologic information for risk assessment. At present, there is no general agreement upon test protocols for chronic inhalation toxicity and carcinogenicity testing of fibers for regulatory purposes. It is, therefore, important for the Agency to obtain input from the scientific community on a number of issues related to fiber testing prior to the development of proposed standardized study protocol(s) for respirable fibers.

EPA, in collaboration with the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, through an interagency working group has scheduled a workshop on chronic inhalation toxicity and carcinogenicity testing of respirable fibrous particles to be held May 8–10, 1995. The goal of the workshop is to obtain scientific evaluations and recommendations from outside expert scientists on:

- (1) Issues dealing with the design and conduct of chronic inhalation studies of
- (2) What preliminary studies would be useful guides in designing the chronic study.
- (3) What mechanistic studies would be important adjuncts to the chronic study to enable better interpretation of study results and extrapolation of potential effects in exposed humans.
- (4) Which, or which combination of the available screening studies constitute a minimum data set which can be used to make judgements about the potential health hazard of the fiber in question, and prioritize the need for further testing in a chronic inhalation study.

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 2603 Dated: April 7, 1995.

Charles M. Auer,

Director, Chemical Control Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 95-9536 Filed 4-17-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

[FRL-5193-9]

Proposed Administrative order on Consent; Petrochem Recycling Corp./ Ekotek, Inc. Site, Salt Lake City, Utah

Agency (U.S. EPA).

ACTION: Proposed de minimis settlement.

AGENCY: U.S. Environmental Protection

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirements of section 122(i)(l) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended (CERCLA), notice is hereby given of a proposed de minimis settlement under section 122(g) of CERCLA concerning the Petrochem Recycling Corp./Ekotek, Inc., Site in Salt Lake City, Utah (Site). The proposed Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) requires 7 potentially responsible parties (PRP) to pay an aggregate total of \$152,825.15 to resolve their liability to the EPA related to response actions taken or to be taken at the Site. The terms of the proposed AOC for these settlements are identical to that approved and made effective by EPA November 16, 1994 (See Federal Register notice, dated September 2, 1994). One of the 7 settlements, EIMAC Corp. (Varian Associates, Inc.), was revised from its previous listing in the September 2, 1994, Federal Register notice based on an amended settlement volume (with no other changes to the AOC), and is thus re-noticed here. **DATES:** Comments must be submitted by

May 18, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to Greg Phoebe (8HWM-SR), Enforcement Specialist, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2405, and should refer to: In the matter of Petrochem/Ekotek De Minimis Settlement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James M. Stearns, Office of Regional Counsel, EPA Region VIII, at (303) 294-7197.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice of section 122(g) De Minimis Settlement: In accordance with section 122(i)(1) of CERCLA, notice is hereby given that the terms of an Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) have been agreed to by the following 7 parties, for the following amounts: Option A Settlements: Bloomfield Refining Co. (\$19,300.00); EIMAC Corporation (Varian Associates, Inc.) (\$77,744.26); Auto Body Supply, Inc. (\$2,759.90); Auto Painting & Collision Specialists, Inc. (\$2,547.60); and G & K Services, Inc. (\$6,872.58). Option B Settlements: BP Exploration & Oil, Inc. (fka SOHIO and SOHIO Oil Company; aka BP Exploration, Inc.) (\$16,501.31); and US Polymeris (aka US Polymeric Industries, Inc.; nka BP Chemicals "HITCO," Inc.) (\$27,099.50).

By the terms of the proposed AOC, these PRPs will together pay \$152,825.15 to the Hazardous Substance Superfund (Superfund). This amount represents approximately 0.2% of the

total anticipated costs for the Site upon which this settlement was based.

In exchange for payment, U.S. EPA will provide the settling parties with a covenant not to sue for liability under sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA, including liability for EPA past costs, the one-time cost of remedy, future EPA oversight costs, future operation and maintenance of the as-yet unselected remedy, and under section 7003 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended (also known as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)).

The amount that each individual PRP will pay, as shown above, equals \$2.97 multiplied by the number of gallons of waste the party sent to the Site (Base Amount), plus a premium payment of either 30% or 120% of the Base Amount, as specified by each Respondent PRP in the AOC. The per gallon charge of \$2.97 was calculated by dividing the total estimated response costs for the Site (\$69,594,403) by the total estimated volume of waste disposed of at the Site (23,454,592 gallons). For parties paying a 30% premium, the "Option A" settlement, there is an exception to the covenant not to sue if total response costs at the Site exceed \$69,594,403. If this amount were exceeded, EPA could sue these parties for all or a portion of the overage. For parties paying the 120% premium, the 'Option B" settlement, the exception to the covenant not to sue does not apply.

For a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, the public may submit comments to U.S. EPA relating to the proposed de minimis settlement.

A copy of the proposed settlement AOC may be obtained from Greg Phoebe (8HWM-SR), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2405, (303) 294-7036. Additional background information relating to the *de minimis* settlement is available for review at the Superfund Records Center at the above address, and at the Marriott Library, Special Collections Department, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah (801) 581-8863.

Jack McGraw,

Acting, Regional Administrator, U.S. EPA, Region VIII.

[FR Doc. 95-9539 Filed 4-17-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE UNITED STATES

Notice of Open Special Meeting of the Advisory committee of the Export-Import Bank of the United States

SUMMARY: The Advisory Committee was established by P.L. 98–181, November 30, 1983, to advise the Export-Import Bank on its programs and to provide comments for inclusion in the reports of the Export-Import Bank to the United States Congress.

TIME AND PLACE: Thursday, April 27, 1995, at 9:30 a.m. to 12:00 noon. The meeting will be held at EX–IM Bank in Room 1143, 811 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20571.

AGENDA: The meeting agenda will include a discussion of the following topics: Advisory Committee Role and Responsibilities; Small Business Overview, Accomplishments and Challenges; and Other Topics/Next Steps.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION: The meeting will be open to public participation; and the last 10 minutes will be set aside for oral questions or comments. Members of the public may also file written statement(s) before or after the meeting. In order to permit the Export-Import Bank to arrange suitable accommodations, members of the public who plan to attend the meeting should notify Barbara Lane, Room 1112, 811 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20571, (202) 565-3957, not later than April 26, 1995. If any person wishes auxiliary aids (such as a sign language interpreter) or other special accommodations, please contact, prior to April 20, 1995, Barbara Lane, Room 1112, 811 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20571, Voice: (202) 565–3957 or TDD: (202) 565–3377.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information contact Barbara Lane, Room 1112, 811 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20571, (202) 565–3957.

Carol F. Lee,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 95–9485 Filed 4–17–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6690–01–M

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Ocean Freight Forwarder License; Revocations

Notice is hereby given that the following ocean freight forwarder licenses have been revoked by the Federal Maritime Commission pursuant to section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 (46 U.S.C. app. 1718) and the

regulations of the Commission pertaining to the licensing of ocean freight forwarders, 46 CFR 510.

License number: 1224.

Name: Rosendo H. Guerra, Jr. dba Buffalo Forwarding Co.

Address: 1314 Texas Ave., Ste. 904, Houston, TX 77022.

Date revoked: March 30, 1995.

Reason: Failed to furnish a valid surety bond.

License number: 3487.

Name: H.P. Blanchard & Co.

Address: 100 West Broadway, 2nd Fl, Long Beach, CA 90802.

Date revoked: March 31, 1995.

Reason: Failed to furnish a valid surety bond.

License number: 511.

Name: Laufer Shipping Co., Inc.

Address: 33 Rector Street, New York, NY 10006.

Date revoked: April 3, 1995.

Reason: Surrendered license voluntarily.

License number: 3145.

Name: Alternative Freight Services, Inc. Address: Peace Bridge Plaza Warehouse, Ste. 211, Buffalo, NY 14213–2497.

Date revoked: April 3, 1995.

Reason: Surrendered license voluntarily.

Bryant L. VanBrakle,

Director, Bureau of Tariffs, Certification and Licensing.

[FR Doc. 95–9509 Filed 4–17–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6730–01–M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Brannen Banks of Florida, Inc., et al.; Notice of Applications to Engage de novo in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The companies listed in this notice have filed an application under § 225.23(a)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(1)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to commence or to engage de novo, either directly or through a subsidiary, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to

produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors

not later than May 2, 1995.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (Zane R. Kelley, Vice President) 104 Marietta Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30303:

1. Brannen Banks of Florida, Inc., Inverness, Florida; to engage de novo through its subsidiary Brannen Banks Services, Inc., Hernando, Florida, in data processing activities, pursuant to § 225.25(b)(7) of the Board's Regulation Y.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Kenneth R. Binning, Director, Bank Holding Company) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105:

1. South Valley Bancorporation, Morgan Hill, California; to engage de novo in making and servicing loans, pursuant to § 225.25(b)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, April 12, 1995.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 95–9474 Filed 4–17–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–F

Central and Southern Holding Company; Acquisition of Company Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The organization listed in this notice has applied under § 225.23(a)(2) or (f) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(2) or (f)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank